Keywords:

Hypertensive, Medications

Nigerial Family etc

International Journal of Medical Research and Pharmaceutical Sciences May 2015; Volume 2 (Issue 5) ISSN: 2394-9414

Impact Factor- 2.65

# ADHERENCE TO ANTI-HYPERTENSIVE MEDICATIONS AND ASSOCIATED FACTORS AMONG PATIENTS IN A NIGERIAN FAMILY PRACTICE SETTING

Rasaq O. Shittu<sup>\*1</sup>, Sanni A. Musa<sup>2</sup>, Odeigah O. Louis<sup>3</sup>, Abdullateef G. Sule<sup>4</sup>, Issa B. Aremu<sup>5</sup>, Okesina<sup>6</sup>, Salamat Isiaka-Lawal<sup>7</sup>& Jason E. Chukwukedu<sup>8</sup>

<sup>\*1</sup>MB,BS.MPH, FWACP, (Kwara State Specialist Hospital, Sobi Ilorin) Department of Family Medicine, Kwara State Specialist Hospital, Sobi, Ilorin, Kwara State, Nigeria

<sup>2</sup>MB,BS, FMCPath,Department of Haematology, Kwara State Specialist Hospital, Sobi, Ilorin, Kwara State, Nigeria
 <sup>3</sup>MBBS,FWACP Department of Family Medicine, University of Ilorin Teaching Hospital, Kwara State Nigeria
 <sup>4</sup>MB,BS, FWACP,Department of Family Medicine, Ahmadu Bello University Teaching Hospital, Zaria, Nigeria
 <sup>5</sup>FMCR,Department of Radiology, University of Ilorin

<sup>6</sup>B.S, MB,BS, FWACS, Dept. of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Kwara State Specialist Hospital, Sobi, Ilorin, Nigeria <sup>7</sup>MB,BS, FMCOG, FWACS, Dept. of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Kwara State Specialist Hospital, Sobi, Ilorin, Nigeria

<sup>8</sup>B.MLS, MSC (Public Health) FRSPH, Department of Pathology, Kwara Advanced Diagnostic Centre, Ilorin, Kwara State, Nigeria.

#### Abstract

**Background:** There is mounting evidence that non-adherence is prevalent in Nigeria and is associated with adverse outcomes and higher costs of care.

Objectives: The purpose of this study was to evaluate the extent of non-adherence to antihypertensive medication regimens as well as associated factors responsible for it in a family practice setting in Nigeria, West African.

**Method:** This was a hospital-based, descriptive cross-sectional study carried out on four hundred patients from January 5th to March 5th, 2014 in Nigerian Family practice settings. Classification of hypertension was made according to JNC7. Morisky self-reported medication adherence questionnaire was used.

**Results:** Of the 400 hypertensive recruited, 86 (21.5%) were male while 314 (78.5%) were female. The male to female ratio was 1:3.7. Age of subjects ranged from 26 - 68 years. The mean age was 50.70 + 10.55. Hypertension was common among age group 56 - 65 years. Majority of the hypertensive were married, in a polygamous setting. Most of them had no formal education with business as the predominant occupation. Two hundred and twenty (55.0%) of the subjects had 100% adherence, 42 (10.5%) had 75% adherence, fifty subjects (12.5%) had 50% adherence while 26 (6.5%) had 25% adherence. Overall, 262 (65.5%) adhered while 138 (34.5%) were non-adherence to anti-hypertensive medication. Moreover, those who did not receive adequate information on the uses of drug and its side effects had lesser adherence. These were statistically significant.

**Conclusion:** The prevalence of non-adherence among hypertensive patients in this study was 34.5%. Thus, indicating the need for adherence counseling. Besides, extra administrative cost on the anti-hypertensive medication should be removed to attract

International Journal of Medical Research and Pharmaceutical Sciences May 2015; Volume 2 (Issue 5) ISSN: 2394-9414

Impact Factor- 2.65

purchase of anti-hypertensive from the hospital pharmacy, rather than pharmacy shops.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Hypertension is defined as an elevated systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP) or both.1 A clinical diagnosis of hypertension is based on the mean of two or more properly measured seated blood pressure measurements taken on two or more occasions. The Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee (JNC 7) on Detection, Evaluation and Treatment of High BP classifies it based on systolic and diastolic values, hence the JNC 7 classification include normal BP, pre-hypertension, stage 1 hypertension and stage 2 hypertension.

Hypertension is the most common cardiovascular disease and causes of heart failure, stroke, chronic renal disease and spontaneous sudden death.2 Whilst the prevalence of hypertension in the Western countries has decreased during the past decade, those in Black Africans has been reported to be on the increase.

The main goal of treatment of hypertension is to bring hypertension under control permanently. This involves the use of antihypertensive drugs when lifestyle modifications do not normalize blood pressure. These drugs include; diuretics,  $\beta$  – blockers, calcium channel blockers, angiotension converting enzymes (ACE) inhibitors, angiotension 11 receptor blockers, adrenergic inhibitors, alpha one ( $\alpha$ 1) receptor blockers and vasodilators.

Adherence has been defined as the "active, voluntary, and collaborative involvement of the patient in a mutually acceptable course of behavior to produce a therapeutic result"3,4 in this regard, the patient has a choice and that both patients and providers mutually establish treatment goals and the medical regimen.5 In order word, adherence is the degree to which the patient conforms to medical advice about lifestyle and dietary changes as well as keeping appointments for follow up and taking treatment as prescribed. It can be expressed quantitatively as the percentage of prescribed doses that have been taken. Adherence can be evaluated in several ways.6 This include pharmacological, clinical and physical measures. Although, there is no gold standard allowing precise measurement of adherence,7 the electronic pill counter of MEMS (Medication Event Monitoring System) may be considered as the best existing system for measurement of adherence.8 Pharmacological methods also have a higher sensitivity and specificity8 but remain difficult to use in standard practices.

Adherence is important in the treatment of hypertension. An early study9 showed that adequate control of hypertension was associated with taking at least 80% of a prescribed regimen. Non adherence rates for patients with hypertension are reported to be 50% after 1 year and 85% after 5years.10 Furthermore, the beta-Blocker Heart Attack Trial reported a 1-year mortality rate of 5.4% in non-adherent patients (i.e. took  $\leq$ 75% of prescribed medication) compared with 2.2% among those who took 75% or more of their prescribed medication.11 It takes more than one type of intervention to achieve long-term adherence. Multiple interventions, combining educational and behavioral approaches, are more successful.12

Non-adherence involve failure to refill prescription, taking an incorrect dose, taking a medication at the wrong time, forgetting to take doses, or stopping therapy too soon. It also involves taking foods or other medications that will alter bioavailability.

Many physicians face the problem of non-adherence among their hypertensive patient. During the first year of treatment 16 - 50% of patients stop taking their anti-hypertensive medication.13 In general, it is estimated that only about 30% - 50% of patients with hypertension adhere precisely to their hypertensive regimens.14

Col and co-workers15 reported 11% non-adherence among elderly persons admitted to an acute care hospital; 28% were drug-related with 11% of these due to non-adherence. Studies have also linked non-adherence to an increase risk of hospital admissions for chronic heart failure (CHF). The extent and direct cost of hospitalization due to non-

International Journal of Medical Research and Pharmaceutical Sciences May 2015; Volume 2 (Issue 5) ISSN: 2394-9414 Impact Factor- 2.65

adherence was estimated by Sullivan et al16 in 1990 to be 5.5% of hospital admissions representing approximately \$8.5 billion as unnecessary hospital expenditures.

While a lot of factors can lead to non-adherence, no single factor has been found to reliably predict patient's nonadherence. Studies have however eliminated factors such as level of intelligence, memory, personality traits, age, and level of education as contributing substantially to non-adherence.13 Patient factors involve; forgetfulness, lack of belief in the value of the medication, adverse effects of drugs, lack of understanding or recall, social isolation, perceived lack of efficacy of drug, and loss of cognitive, or psychomotor skills associated with older age or disability. Disease factors may be presence of few symptoms, perceived seriousness of disease change, chronic conditions and psychiatric diagnosis. Medications-related factors include; complexity of regimen such as number of drugs prescribed, frequency of daily doses, unpleasant taste or smell, occurrence and severity of adverse effects, compatibility of daily activities or lifestyle and increased duration of treatment. Disease factors includes; cost of medication, use of multiple pharmacies, use of multiple physicians, dissatisfaction with their healthcare provider and long waiting time or other inconveniences.12

There is paucity of data on adherence to antihypertensive and associated factor in North Central Nigeria. Hence, the need for this study.

#### **METHODS**

This study was carried out at the Family Medicine Department of the Kwara State Specialist Hospital, from 5<sup>th</sup> January to 5<sup>th</sup> March, 2014. The sample selection<sup>17</sup> was done over a period of eight weeks with 30 patients selected by simple random sampling form a listing on each of the clinic days until 400 subjects was obtained. The inclusion criteria were patients presenting with history of hypertension for at least six months duration and were on medication during the period. Diabetic hypertensive's were part of the study. The exclusion criteria were pregnancy induced hypertension, patients' diagnosed hypertensive but less than six months duration, as well as hypertensive patients on admission. The questionnaire was pretested on 40 patients selected from the facility. Institutional ethical approval was obtained. The rights of respondents during the interviews were respected.

Two or more blood pressure measurement separated by a two-minute interval, with the patient either supine or seated, and after standing for at least 2 minutes. Verification in the contra lateral arm was done. The JNC classification was used thus;

Normal <u>&lt;120</u>	Pre-hypertensive <u>120 – 139</u>	Stage 1 <u>140 – 159</u>	Stage 2 >160
<80	80-89	90 - 99	100

Morisky self-reported medication adherence questionnaire was used.<sup>10</sup> The Morisky Medication-Taking Adherence Scale (MMAS) consists of four items with a scoring scheme of "Yes" = 0 and "No" = 1. The items are summed to give a range of scores from 0 to 4. A, NO answer was allocated a score of 1, and a Yes a score of 0. Thus a patient answering NO to all questions had a maximum score of 4 corresponding to 100% adherence, three NO is equal to 75%; two NO is 50% and one NO is 25% adherence. Patients who answered Yes to all the questions score 0% adherence i.e. they are non-adherent. Patients score of 75% or more were considered as adherent and patients with score less than 75% were described as non-adherent.

The result generated were analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 16.0 (Microsoft, Chicago, IL, United States of America (USA)) for calculation of percentages for categorical variables and means for continuous data. Means with standard deviations of continuous variables were generated where appropriate. Percentages and frequencies were compared by chi-square analysis and the student's t-test served to compare means. The level of statistical significance was set at p < 0.05.

\_ \_ \_\_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_

International Journal of Medical Research and Pharmaceutical Sciences May 2015; Volume 2 (Issue 5) ISSN: 2394-9414

Impact Factor- 2.65

## RESULTS

Of the respondents, 314 (78.5%) were female while 86 (21.5%) were male. The male to female ratio was 0:3.7. Age of subject ranged from 29 - 88 years. The mean age was  $50.70 \pm 10.55$ .

Table 1, shows that there was 314 (78.5%), female preponderance among the hypertensive. Hypertension was common among age group 56 - 65 years. Majority of the hypertensive were married in a polygamous setting. Most of them had no formal education with business as the predominant occupation. Forty (10.0%) agreed to alcohol intake while 6 (1.5%) were current cigarette smokers.

Table 1: Socio-demographic	characteristics (	of the respondents
Variables	Frequency	(%)
Sex		
Male	86	(21.5)
Female	314	(78.5)
Total	400	(100.0)
Age		
26-35	6	(1.5)
36-45	44	(11)
46-55	122	(3.5)
56-65	158	(37.5)
>65	70	(17.5)
Total	400	(100.0)
Ethnicity		
Hausa	10	(2.53)
Yoruba	385	(96.2)
Igbo	5	(1.2)
Total	400	(100.0)
Religion		
Christianity	44	(11.0)
Islam	347	(86.8)
Traditional	6	(1.5)
Other (Specify)	3	(.8)
Total	400	(100.0)
Marital Status		
Married	230	(57.5)
Divorced	48	(12.0)
Separated	32	(8.0)
Widow	56	(14.0)
Widower	34	(8.5)
Total	400	(100.0)
Type of Marriage		
Monogamy	116	(29.0)
Polygamy	284	(71.0)
Total	400	(100.0
Level of Education		
Non formal	328	(82.0)
Primary	26	(6.5)
Secondary	16	(4.0)
Tertiary	30	(7.5)
Total	400	(100.0)

http://www.ijmprsjournal.com

International Journal of Medical Research and Pharmaceutical Sciences May 2015; Volume 2 (Issue 5) ISSN: 2394-9414 Impact Factor- 2.65

Occupation			
Business Person	220	(55.0)	
Civil Servant	48	(12.0)	
Retired Workers	42	(10.5)	
Farmers	18	(4.5)	
House Wives	40	(10.0)	
Unemployed	28	(7.0)	
Student	4	(1.0)	
Total	400	(100.0)	
Cigarette Smoking Status			
None	362	(90.5)	
Current	6	(1.5)	
Ex-smoker	32	(8.0)	
Total	400	(100.0)	
Alcoholic Intake			
Yes	40	(10.0)	
No	360	(90.0)	
Total	400	(100.0)	

Figure 1 shows that 22 (5.5%) of the subjects had normal blood pressure, while 82 (20.5%) were in the prehypertensive stage. Of the hypertensive, 218 (54.5%) were in stage 1 while 76 (19.5%) were in stage 2.



#### Fig 1: Stages of hypertension among the subjects

Figure 2 shows that 220 (55.0%) of the subject had 100% adherence while 42 (10.5%) had 75% adherence. Fifty (12.5%) had 50% adherence, 26 (6.5%) had 25% adherence. Overall, 262 (65.5%) adhered while 138 (34.5%) were non-adherence to anti-hypertensive medication.

International Journal of Medical Research and Pharmaceutical Sciences May 2015; Volume 2 (Issue 5) ISSN: 2394-9414 Impact Factor- 2.65



Fig. 2: Adherence rate of the respondents

Open Access Journal	
International Journal of Medical Resear	rch and Pharmaceutical Sciences
May 2015; Volume 2 (Issue 5)	ISSN: 2394-9414
	Impact Factor- 2.65

Table 2 shows the association between demographic factors and blood pressure. Twenty two (13.8%) of the respondents had normal blood pressure. There were more female 172 (54.8%) hypertensive than male 46 (53.5%). Age group, marital status, level of education and occupation were statistically significant, whereas sex was not.

Table 2: Association between blood pressure and socio-demographical factors							
	Total	Chi-square					
Variables	Normal	Pre	Stage 1	Stage 2			
		hypertensive	-				
Sex							
Male	8 (9.3)	14 (16.3)	46 (53.5)	18 (20.9)	86 (100.0)	0.265	
Female	14 (4.5)	68 (21.7)	172 (54.8)	60 (19.1)	314 (100.0)		
Total	22	82	218	78	400		
Age Groups							
26-35	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	6 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	6 (100.0)	0.000	
36-45	10 (22.7)	8 (18.2)	26 (59.1)	0 (0.0)	44 (100.0)		
46-55	4 (3.3)	26 (21.3)	54 (44.3)	38 (31.1)	122 (100.0)		
56-65	8 (5.1)	20 (12.7)	108 (68.4)	22 (13.9)	158 (100.0)		
>65	0 (0)	28 (40.0)	24 (34.3)	18 (25.7)	70 (100.0)		
Total	22	82	218	78	400		
Marital Status							
Married	14 (6.1)	56 (24.3)	114 (49.6)	46 (20.0)	230 (100.0)	0.000	
Divorced	0 (0)	14 (29.2)	26 (54.2)	8 (16.7)	48 (100.0)		
Separated	0 (0)	8 (25.0)	24 (75.0)	0 (0)	32 (100.0)		
Widow	8 (14.3)	4 (7.1)	24 (42.9)	20 (35.7)	56 (100.0)		
Widower	0 (0.0)	0 (0)	30 (88.2)	4 (11.8)	34 (100.0)		
Total	22	82	218	78	400		
Type of Marriage							
Monogamy	6 (5.2)	20 (17.2)	60 (51.7)	30 (25.9)	116 (100.0)	0.212	
Polygamy	16 (5.6)	62 (21.8)	58 (55.6)	48 (16.9)	284 (100.0)		
Total	22	82	218	78	400		
Level of Education							
Non Formal	12 (3.7)	72 (22.0)	180 (54.9)	64 (19.5)	328 (100.0)	0.011	
Primary	4 (15.4)	6 (23.1)	8 (30.8)	8 (30.8)	26 (100.0)		
Secondary	2 (12.5)	2 (12.5)	10 (62.5)	2 (12.5)	16 (100.0)		
Tertiary	4 (13.3)	2 (6.7)	20 (66.7)	4 (13.3)	30 (100.0)		
Total	22	82	218	78	400		
Occupation							
<b>Business Person</b>	6 (2.7)	48 (21.8)	128 (58.2)	38 (17.3)	220 (100.0)	0.000	
Civil Servant	8 (16.7)	4 (8.3)	22 (45.8)	14 (29.2)	48 (100.0)		
Retired Workers	0 (0)	8 (19.0)	24 (57.1)	10 (23.8)	42 (100.0)		
Farmers	0 (0.0)	0 (0)	14 (77.8)	4 (22.2)	18 (100.0)		
House Wives	4 (10.0)	16 (40.0)	16 (40.0)	4 (10.0)	40 (100.0)		
Unemployed	4 (14.3)	6 (21.4)	14 (50.0)	4 (14.3)	28 (100.0)		
Student	0 (0.0)	0 (0)	0 (0.0)	4 (100.0)	4 (100.0)		
Total	22	82	218	78	400		

Open Access Journal	
International Journal of Medical R	esearch and Pharmaceutical Sciences
May 2015; Volume 2 (Issue 5)	ISSN: 2394-9414
	Impact Factor- 2.65

Table 3 shows that 58 (34.0%) spent more than  $\mathbb{N}4000$  and constituted the highest number of the non-adherence. Besides, those who purchased antihypertensive from pharmacy shop, 142 (62.3%) were more adherence than those who purchased their anti-hypertensive from hospital pharmacies. Moreover, those who did not received adequate information 74 (26.1%) on the uses of anti-hypertensive drug and its side effects had lesser adherence. Subjects on calcium channel blocker and beta blockers 104 (75.4%) had better adherence than other drug combinations. These were statistically significant.

Table 3: Association between adherence and cost of hypertension						
Adh	erence		P – Value			
Non-Adherence	Adherence	Total				
6 (42.9)	8 (57.1)	14	0.014			
6 (21.4)	22 (78.6)	28				
10 (62.5)	6 (37.5)	16				
18 (37.5)	30 (62.5)	48				
22 (26.8)	60 (73.2)	82				
68 (34.0)	132 (66.0)					
8 (66.7)	4 (33.3)	12				
10 (7.2)	50 (83.3)	60	0.016			
86 (62.3)	142 (62.3)	228				
8 (5.8)	10 (55.5)	18				
34 (24.7)	60 (63.8)	94				
74 (26.1)	210 (73.9)	284	0.000			
64 (55.2)	52 (44.8)	116				
26 (68.4)	12 (31.6)	38	0.000			
36 (30.5)	82 (69.5)	118				
34 (24.6)	104 (75.4)	138				
26 (37.1)	44 (62.9)	70				
16 (44.4)	20 (55.6)	36				
	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Adh \\ \hline \hline Non-Adherence \\\hline 6 (42.9) \\\hline 6 (21.4) \\\hline 10 (62.5) \\\hline 18 (37.5) \\\hline 22 (26.8) \\\hline 68 (34.0) \\\hline 8 (66.7) \\\hline \hline 10 (7.2) \\\hline 86 (62.3) \\\hline 8 (66.7) \\\hline \hline 10 (7.2) \\\hline 86 (62.3) \\\hline 8 (5.8) \\\hline 34 (24.7) \\\hline \hline 74 (26.1) \\\hline 64 (55.2) \\\hline \hline 26 (68.4) \\\hline 36 (30.5) \\\hline 34 (24.6) \\\hline 26 (37.1) \\\hline 16 (44.4) \\\hline \end{tabular}$	Adherence           Non-Adherence         Adherence           6 (42.9)         8 (57.1)           6 (21.4)         22 (78.6)           10 (62.5)         6 (37.5)           18 (37.5)         30 (62.5)           22 (26.8)         60 (73.2)           68 (34.0)         132 (66.0)           8 (66.7)         4 (33.3)           10 (7.2)         50 (83.3)           86 (62.3)         142 (62.3)           8 (5.8)         10 (55.5)           34 (24.7)         60 (63.8)           74 (26.1)         210 (73.9)           64 (55.2)         52 (44.8)           26 (68.4)         12 (31.6)           36 (30.5)         82 (69.5)           34 (24.6)         104 (75.4)           26 (37.1)         44 (62.9)           16 (44.4)         20 (55.6)	Adherence         Total           Adherence         Total $6$ (42.9)         8 (57.1)         14 $6$ (21.4)         22 (78.6)         28           10 (62.5) $6$ (37.5)         16           18 (37.5)         30 (62.5)         48           22 (26.8)         60 (73.2)         82 $68$ (34.0)         132 (66.0)         8 $8$ (66.7)         4 (33.3)         12           10 (7.2)         50 (83.3)         60 $8$ (66.7)         4 (33.3)         12           10 (7.2)         50 (83.3)         60           8 (66.7)         4 (33.3)         12           10 (7.2)         50 (83.3)         60           8 (66.7)         4 (33.3)         12           10 (7.2)         50 (83.3)         60           8 (66.7)         4 (33.3)         12           10 (7.2)         50 (83.3)         60           8 (66.7)         4 (33.3)         12           10 (7.2)         50 (83.3)         60           8 (66.7)         14 (62.3)         228           8 (5.8)         10 (55.5)         18           34 (24.6)         12 (31.6)			

### DISCUSSION

A total of 400 hypertensive patients were examined. There was female preponderance with male to female ratio of 1:3.7. This was in agreement with the studies in Sagamu<sup>18</sup>, Ekiti<sup>19</sup> in south western Nigeria respectively, but contrary to the study of Kabir and co-workers in Kano, Nigeria where there were equal number of men and women in the study.<sup>20</sup>

The mean age was  $50.70 \pm 10.55$ . This was similar to  $49.29 \pm 1.04$  in the study by Roland and co-workers in tertiary hospital in Nigeria.<sup>21</sup> The mean age obtained in this study was also in line with that obtained in a study conducted in Karachi, Pakistan<sup>22</sup> and Ekiti, south western Nigeria<sup>19</sup>, but contrary to those obtained in Sagamu and Ibadan<sup>23</sup> both in south western Nigeria<sup>18</sup>. This was not in concord with the report from Ibadan<sup>15</sup> where the peak age-categories were 46 - 55 years and no significant association existed. In this study, most patients presented with a mean BP consistent with grade 2 hypertension, this was similar to previous study done in Ilorin, Nigeria.<sup>24</sup>

The finding that CCB was most commonly prescribed drug in this study agrees with some other reports.<sup>25,26</sup> which was done outside Nigeria. This was not the case in a study done in Ibadan, Nigeria,<sup>27</sup> where thiazide was the most commonly prescribed antihypertensive. The Ibadan study was probably influenced by the volume dependency of black hypertensive and the ALLHAT study.<sup>28</sup>

In this study, the adherence to antihypertensive as measured by MMAS-4 was 65.5%. This was similar to the studies in Jos<sup>29</sup> (67.7%), Lagos<sup>30</sup> (65.8%) and Port Harcourt<sup>31</sup> (60%). A study in the United Kingdom<sup>32</sup> reported an adherence level of 74.1%. A higher value was also reported in Sagamu<sup>18</sup> (78.7%) and Nsukka<sup>33</sup>, (70.7%). The value obtained is also lower than previous studies done in Kuwait 88.6%, India 73% and Turkey 72%. This might be due to better access and care to patients in these countries. This could also be related to low level of education and low level of awareness related to risk of hypertension complications.<sup>34,35,36</sup> On the contrary, lower values were reported in Kano where Kabir and co workers reported 54.2%<sup>20</sup> and 44.7% by Ebenezer and co workers in Nigeria at a tertiary hospital. Similar lower values were reported in Sokoto<sup>37</sup>, Ibadan<sup>23</sup>, and Iran<sup>38</sup>.

In our study, poor adherence could be attributed to the cost of the antihypertensive. Most of the subjects spent more than four thousand naira (N4000) on antihypertensive in situation where majority were retired workers, full house wives, and unemployed. Cost is a crucial issue in patient's adherence especially for patients with chronic diseases as the treatment period could be life-long.<sup>39,40</sup> A number of studies found that patients who had no insurance cover<sup>41,42</sup>, were more likely to be non-adherent to treatment.

Moreover, those who purchase anti-hypertensive's from pharmacy shops adhered better than those from hospital pharmacy. This study shows that the costlier the drug the less adherence the subjects were. In general, antihypertensive purchased from pharmacy shops were cheaper than the hospital pharmacy, thereby adding to the yolk of the subjects. This could be attributed to the extra administrative cost added to the cost of antihypertensive drug in the Nigerian hospital set-up, making drugs at the pharmacy shop relatively cheaper than those in the hospital pharmacy. Hence, there is need to reduce the cost of anti-hypertensive in our hospital to match those at the pharmacy shop by removing the extra administratively cost.

In this study, it was observed that, those who did not receive adequate information on the uses of drug and its side effects had lesser adherence. This could be overcome by educating the subjects on the various anti-hypertensive's, side effects and the advantages of the medication. Moreover, majority of those who did not adhere, were those without adequate information on the uses of the hypertensive and its side effects.<sup>43</sup>

Health professionals must educate hypertensive patients about their disease with specific emphasis on its causes, the severity of the disease, their medications and the consequences of non-adherence with treatment. They need to stress the importance of adherence. Therefore, this is urgent need to encourage health promotion in the population as means of primary prevention.

## CONCLUSION

The respondents in the study were non-adherent to the antihypertensive medication. The low socio-economic factors and the relatively costly drug could be responsible. It is therefore recommended that medication selection, bearing in mind the cost of medication should be addressed. The Federal Government of Nigeria should endeavour to extend the scope of National Health Insurance Scheme (NHIS) to the grass root and the informal sector of the scheme, in order to cushion the medication cost burden on these patients.

#### LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

MMAS-4 which was used has the disadvantages of recall bias and eliciting socially acceptable responses, hence, has the tendency to overestimate adherence level. Besides, this study was hospital based, hence, making generalization difficult. More sophisticated methods, may have helped in accurate assessment of adherence, however, this was not feasible within the context of this study. Also, the sample size for the study was relatively small, but was more than

**Open Access Journal** 

International Journal of Medical Research and Pharmaceutical Sciences

May 201	5; Volume	2 (Issue !	5)
---------	-----------	------------	----

ISSN: 2394-9414 Impact Factor- 2.65

the minimum estimated sample size for the study. Nonetheless, the study provides useful data, in the important area of adherence to therapy for hypertensive patients.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We wish to express our profound gratitude to the Kwara State Ministry of Health and the entire staff of Kwara State Specialist Hospital especially, those at the general out-patient unit.

# **APPENDIX 1: SOCIO DEMOGRAPHIC VARIABLES**

1.	Age:
2.	Sex: Male Female
3.	Ethnicity: Hausa Yoruba Igbo Others (specify)
4.	Religion: Christianity Islam Traditional Other (specify)
5.	Marital Status: Married Single Divorced Separated Window Widower
6.	Type of marriage; Monogamy Polygamy
7.	Level of Education: Non Formal  Primary Secondary Tertiary
8.	Occupation: Business person Civil servant Letired workers I mers I use Wives
	Unemployed Student
9.	Estimated monthly income (Specify):
10.	Cigarette smoking status; None, Current, Ex- smoker
11.	Alcohol Intake YES NO
	IF YES
a.	Have you ever felt that you ought to Cut down on your drinking?
b.	Have people Annoyed by criticizing your drinking?

- c. Have you ever felt bad or Guilty about your drinking?
- d. Have you ever had a drink first thing in the morning to steady your nerves or to get rid of a hangover (Eyeopener)?

# **APPENDIX 2: MORISKY MEDICATION-TAKING ADHERENCE SCALE-MMAS (4-**ITEM

		Yes	No
a.	Do you ever forget to take your (name of health condition) medicine?		
b.	Do you ever have problems remembering to take your (name of health condition) medication?		
c.	When you feel better, do you sometimes stop taking your (name of health condition) medicine?		
d.	Sometimes if you feel worse when you take your (name of health condition) medicine, do you stop taking it?		

## **APPENDIX 3: PATTERN OF DRUG COMBINATION**

(I)	D+BB	(ii) D+ACEI/ARB	(iii) CCB +BB	(iv) CCB+ACEI /ARB	(v) CCB+D
(1)					

(vi) AB +BB (vii)alpha 2 Adrenoceptor agonist +Imidazoline receptor

## **APPENDIX 4:**

a.	Cost of a	ntihyperten	sive (N	aira)/ Month				
<200 (	)	200-500 (	)	501-1000 ( )	1001-2000 ( )	2001-4000 ( )	>4000 ( )	Unknown ()
b.	Where do	o you buy y	our ant	ihypertensive me	dications from?			
Hospita	al Pharma	cies ( ) F	Pharmac	y Shop ()	Patent Medicine	stores ( )		

International Journal of Medical Research and Pharmaceutical Sciences May 2015; Volume 2 (Issue 5) ISSN: 2394-9414

Hospital pharmacies & Pharmacy shop ()

On purchase of drugs, do you always receive adequate information on the antihypertensive medication and c. how to take them? Yes ( ) No()

#### REFERENCES

- Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR (2003) The Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee on 1. Prevention, Detection, Evaluation and Treatment of High Blood Pressure.
- 2. Plague
- 3. Delamater AM. Improving patient adherence. Clin Diabetes. 2006;24:71 – 77.
- Meichenbaum D, Turk DC. Facilitating treatment adherence: A practitioner's guidebook. New York, NY: 4. Plenum Press; 1987.
- 5. Garfield FN, Caro JJ. Adherence and hypertension. Current hypertension reports 1999; 1: 502 – 6.
- Mallion JM, Schmitt D. Patient compliance in the treatment of arterial hypertension. European society of 6. hypertension Scientific newsletter. 2001; 2:7.
- 7. Eisen SA, Woodward RS, Miller D, Spitznagel E, Windham CA. The effect of medication compliance on the control of hypertension. J Gen Intern Med 1987; 2 (5): 298-305
- 8. Bittar N. Maintaining long-term control of blood pressure: the role of improved compliance. Clin cardiol 1995; 18: 11112 – 11116.
- 9. Hayes et al 1976
- 10. Garfield FB, Caro JJ. Achieving patient buy-in and long term compliance with antihypertensive treatment. Dis Manage Health Outcome. 2000; 7: 13 - 20.
- 11. Horowitz RI, Viscoli CM, Berkman L, et al. Treatment adherence and arisk of death after a myocardial infraction. Lancet. 1990; 336: 542-545.
- 12. McDonald HP, Garg AX, Haynes RB. Interventions to enhance patient adherence to medication prescriptions: scientific review. JAMA.2002; 288: 2868 - 2879.
- 13. Stergachis A IMPACT: Improving Patients Compliance with Medication Regimens. US Pharmacist. 1998; 1 16.
- 14. Morisky DE, Green LW, Levine DM. Concurrent and predictive validity of a self-reported measure of medication adherence. Med Care. 1986; 24:67 – 74.
- 15. Col Nm, Fanale JE, Kronholm P. The roles of medication noncompliance and adverse drug reactions in hospitalization of the elderly. Arch Intern Med. 1990; 150:841-845.
- 16. Sullivan SD, Kreiling DH, Hazlet TH. Noncomplaince with medication regimens and subsequent hospitalizations: a literature analysis and cost of hospitalization estimate.
- J Res Pharm Economics. 1990; 2: 19 33.
- 17. Araoye MO. Sample size determination. Research methodology with statistics for health and social sciences, Ilorin: Nathadex publishers, 2004; 4:115-204
- 18. Eze UI, Ojieabu WA, Femi-ovewo MN, Martins OO. Evaluation of adherence in Elderly diabetic hypertensive patients. IJPI's Journal of hospital and clinical pharmacy 2011, 1, 38.
- 19. Busari OA, Olanrewaju TO, Desalu OO, Opadijo OG, Jimoh AK, Agboola SM, Busari OE, Olalekan O, et al. Impact of patients' knowledge, attitude and practices on hypertension on compliance with antihypertensive drugs in a resource-poor setting, TAF Prev Med Bull, 2010; 87.
- 20. Kabir M, Iliyasu Z, Abubakar SI, Jibril M. Compliance to medication among hypertensive patients in Murtala Mohammed Specialist Hospital, Kano, Nigeria. Journal of Community medicine and primary health care, 16; (1): 16 - 20.
- 21. Roland NO, Cyprain KN. Assessment of patient's antihypertensive medication adherence level in noncomorbid hypertension in a tertiary hospital in Nigeria, Int. J, Pharm Biomed Sci. 2012, 3 (2), 47 – 54.
- 22. Saman KH, Maria BA, Kanza A, Rubina AS, Danish S, Philipppe MF, Mohammad I, Aisha A, Usman A, et al. Factors associated with adherence to anti-hypertensive treatment, 2006.
- 23. Osamor PE, Owumi BE. Factors associated with treatment compliance in hypertension in Southwest Nigeria. J Health Popul Nutr. 2011, 29, 619.

International Journal of Medical Research and Pharmaceutical Sciences May 2015; Volume 2 (Issue 5) ISSN: 2394-9414

Impact Factor- 2.65

- 24. Oghagbon EK, Okesina AB. Pattern of some risk factors for cardiovascular disease in untreated Nigerian hypertensive patients. West Afr J Med. 2006; 25(3): 208 – 211.
- 25. Chou CC, Lee MS, Ke CH, Chung MH. Prescription patterns of hypertension-National health insurance in Taiwan. J Chin Med Assoc. 2004; 67: 123 – 130.
- 26. Mori H, Ukai H, Yamamoto H, Saitou S, Hirao K, Yamauchi M, Umemuro S. et al. Current status of antihypertensive prescription and associated blood pressure control in Japan. Hypertens Res. 2006; 29 (3):143 - 151.
- 27. Adigun AO, Ishola DA, Akintomide AO, Ajavi AA. Shifting trends in the pharmacologically treatment of hypertension in a Nigerian tertiary hospital: a real world evaluation of the efficacy, safety, rationality and pharmacoeconomics of old and new antihypertensive drugs. J Hum Hypertens. 2003; 17(4):227 – 285.
- 28. The ALLHAT officers and co-ordinators for ALLHAT collaborative research group. Major outcomes in highrisk hypertensive patients randomized to angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor or calcium channel blocker vs. diuretic: The antihypertensive and liquid lowering treatment to prevent heart attack trial (ALLHAT). JAMA. 2012;288:2981-2997.
- 29. Okeahialam BN. Adherence and preference issues in antihypertensive therapy: Experience from a private medical facility in Jos, Nigeria. Journal of medicine in the tropics 2011, 13, 11.
- 30. Amira CO, Okubadejo NU. Factors influencing non-compliance with antihypertensive drug therapy in Nigerians. Niger. Postgrad M. J. 2007, 14, 325.
- 31. Akpa MR, Agomuoh DI, Odia OJ. Drug compliance among hypertensive patients in Port Harcourt Nigeria. Niger J Med 2005, 14, 55.
- 32. Ross S, Walker A, MacLeod MJ. Patient compliance in hypertension: role of illness perceptions and treatment beliefs. Journal of human hypertension 2004, 18, 607.
- 33. Ekwunife OI, Udeogaranya PO, Adibe MO. Predictors of self-reported adherence to antihypertensive medication in a Nigerian population. Journal of basic and clinical pharmacy 2010, 1, 12.
- 34. Amal MA, Fatma AA, Maryam MK, Al AA. Drug compliance among hypertensive patients: an are based study. 2009; Eur J Gen Med., 6 (1); 392 – 398.
- 35. Subhasis BP, Sankara S. Adherence to antihypertensive treatment and its determinants among urban slum dwellers in Kolkata, India. 2011; Asia-Pacific J of PH. http://aph.sagepub.com/content/early/.abstract?
- 36. Ana do lu kar di vol Derg: The assessment of adherence of hypertensive individuals to treatment and lifestyle change recommendations. Hypertensive individuals and lifestyle 2009; 9:102 – 9.
- 37. Isezuo AS, Opera TC. Hypertension awareness among Nigerians in a Nigerian tertiary health institution. Sahel medical journal 2000, 3, 93.
- 38. Hadi N, Rostami-Gooran N. Determinant factors of medication compliance in hypertensive patients of shiraz, Iran. Arch Iranian Med, 2004, 7, 292.
- 39. Eze UI, Ojieabu WA, Femi-Oyewo MN, Martins OO. Evaluation of adherence in elderly diabetic hypertensive patients. IJPI's journal of hospital and clinical pharmacy, 2011, 1, 38.
- 40. Ponnusankar S, Surulivelrajan M, Anandamoorthy N. Assessment of impact of medication counseling on parents' medication knowledge and compliance in an outpatient clinic in South India. Patient Educ Couns 2004, 54: 55.
- 41. Hadi N, Rostami-Gooran N. Determinant factors of medication compliance in hypertensive patients of Shiraz, Iran. Arch Iranian Med. 2004; 7: 292.
- 42. Choi-Kwon S, Kwon SU, Kim JS. Compliance with risk factor modification: early-onset versus late-onset stroke patients. Eur Neurol 2005, 54, 204.
- 43. Martin U, Nwankwo L. Blood pressure in Nigerian college males. Journal of human hypertension, 1990; 4:72-3.